

The University of Newcastle  
School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science  
Faculty of Engineering and Built Environment

**Time Series Classification for Analysing the  
Impact of Architectural Design on  
Pedestrian Spatial Behaviour**

by  
Arash Jalalian  
BSc and MSc in Computer Engineering

Thesis Advisor: Stephan K. Chalup  
Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy in Computer Science

June, 2012

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library<sup>1</sup>, being made available for loan and photocopying subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

Signature

---

<sup>1</sup>Unless an Embargo has been approved for a determined period.

## Acknowledgments

I consider myself very fortunate to have had the opportunity to live and study in Newcastle. With its natural beauty, Newcastle provides an ideal setting for academic excellence. Not only I have received world-class training in modern machine learning, I have had the pleasure of forming many close friendships and exciting collaborations amongst the numerous highly esteemed academics I have worked with.

As is ever so common in research today, progress is rarely made without collaboration amongst colleagues from various areas within the local and distant academic community. I would like to take this opportunity to thank all those who have contributed to the fields of scientific endeavour perused throughout this thesis. Not only those mentioned below but the countless workers that dedicate their talents to this respectively important field.

First and foremost, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to Associate Professor Stephan Chalup for granting me this opportunity. He has continuously instilled confidence in me and has truly believed in my abilities. Under his guidance, I have been able to realise a dream and life long academic passion. His extensive experience has been invaluable and his level of patience, not only admirable but critical to my success.

Professor Michael Ostwald has provided uniquely insightful suggestions and I appreciate the experience in the architectural application domain that he has facilitated. His inspirational personality and extraordinary intelligence is priceless in guiding students. The level of attention and genuine care he expresses is remarkable.

I would like to thank to Doctor Eamonn Keogh, and Doctor A. Frank for providing very useful machine learning data sets in UCR and UCI repositories. In addition, I would like to thank Doctor Sepp Hochreiter and Doctor Klaus Obermayer for introducing P-SVMs. To all paper reviewers who have provided outstanding feedback.

Newcastle City Council and Screen Hunter Central Coast have been very helpful in supporting the Human Ethics approval process. I gratefully acknowledge Roseanne Linich for providing ESL support during my doctorate studies.

This work would not have been possible without the financial support provided by the Australian Research Council - “Modelling and Predicting Patterns of Pedestrian Movement”. Grant No. DP1092679.

On a personal note, I express my appreciation to my colleagues in the Interdisciplinary Machine Learning Research Group, for their stimulating discussion, ideas, encouragement, expertise and genuinely keen approach to team work. To my friends for being understanding of my commitment during this period, especially as I complete this thesis by writing this acknowledgement. Of special note Doctor Houman Ebrahimi, my dear friend and imminent business co-founder.

Being away from family has not been easy but this endeavour has not only been possible through focus and dedication but it has taken years of preparation and ongoing support from my dear family. My father Hassan Jalalian, my mother Mansure Azam Feizmanesh and my brother Ashkan Jalalian. They have been amazing in bridging the immense physical distance that separates us. Their supports and prayers have truly been invaluable.

Time Series Classification for Analysing the  
Impact of Architectural Design on  
Pedestrian Spatial Behaviour



## Abstract

Pedestrian spatial behaviour is defined as the pedestrians' reaction to their immediate surroundings. Analysis of changes in this behaviour due to alternation in the environmental settings is an important facet of architectural and urban design. To measure the changes, human body dynamics, such as head position, gaze direction, movement direction, speed of movement, and trajectory can be employed. In this research the main purpose is to support architects and urban designers to better assess the impact of the spatial environment ion the pedestrian's behaviour in planned urban spaces. To this end, an analysis system is proposed to learn the patterns of behaviour observed in a simulated and real-world architectural space.

The simulated environment is generated using the proposed pedestrian and urban models. The models provide important behavioural characteristics in a multi-agent-based simulation system. They support complex spatial interactions between agents and their environment, including agent-to-agent interactions, different spatial desires, and interpersonal distance. The simulated environment can be automatically generated using scanned line drawings of two-dimensional street maps or public spaces. In the simulation model, a variety of scenarios can be defined and modified by altering different parameters. Using the example of Wheeler Place in Newcastle (Australia), the experiments demonstrate how pedestrian behavioural characteristics can depend on selected abstract features in urban spaces. The characteristics are used in the analysis system to distinguish between different patterns of spatial behaviour.

The analysis system consists of a proposed technique for sequential data classification where each data object may have different lengths. The new technique, called GDTW-P-SVMs, is a maximum margin method for the construction of classifiers with variable-length input series. It employs potential support vector machines (P-SVMs) and dynamic time warping (DTW) to waive the fixed-length restriction of feature vectors in standard support vector machines (SVMs). The new technique elaborates on the P-SVM kernel function, by utilising DTW to provide an elastic distance measure for the kernel function. Benchmarks for classification are performed with several real-world data

sets from the UCR Time Series Classification/Clustering page, GeoLife trajectory data set, and UCI Machine Learning Repository. The data sets include data with both variable and fixed-length input series. The results show that the new method performs significantly better than the benchmarked standard classification methods.

To learn patterns of spatial behaviour the proposed classification technique is employed with simulated and real-world characteristics. The characteristics are collected from Wheeler Place using the proposed simulation software and pedestrian tracking system. GDTW-P-SVMs classify patterns of behaviour using the whole sequence of data series as a single input to increase the classification performance. As a result, they can provide the highest classification accuracy using the simulated and real-world data sets, when compared with the other existing methods.

**Keywords:** Spatial Behaviour Analysis, Trajectory Data Analysis, Support Vector Machines, Dynamic Time Warping

---

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Motivation . . . . .	4
1.2	Application Areas . . . . .	5
1.2.1	Behavioural Characteristics . . . . .	5
1.2.2	Spatial Behaviour Analysis . . . . .	6
1.3	Challenges . . . . .	7
1.3.1	Behavioural Characteristics Extraction . . . . .	7
1.3.2	Spatial Behaviour Analysis . . . . .	8
1.4	Aims and Objectives of This Thesis . . . . .	9
1.5	Publications . . . . .	11
1.6	Thesis Overview . . . . .	13
1.7	Summary . . . . .	14
<b>I</b>	<b>Human Behavioural Characteristics</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Human spatial behaviour simulation</b>	<b>17</b>
2.1	Previous Works . . . . .	18
2.1.1	Analytical Models . . . . .	18
2.1.2	Cellular-Automata . . . . .	19
2.1.3	Multi-Agent Based Simulations . . . . .	20
2.1.4	Pedestrian Simulation in Urban Spaces . . . . .	21
2.2	Pedestrian Spatial Behaviour Simulation . . . . .	22
2.2.1	Pedestrian Behavioural Model . . . . .	24
2.2.2	Urban Model . . . . .	27
2.2.3	Spatial Behaviour Simulation . . . . .	28
2.3	Visual Attention Modelling . . . . .	32
2.3.1	Attention and Eye Movement . . . . .	34
2.3.2	Agent's Need and Object Category Vectors . . . . .	35
2.3.3	Goal-Driven vs. Stimulus-Driven Attention . . . . .	35

2.3.4	Virtual Attractive Objects . . . . .	36
2.3.5	Object Selection Process . . . . .	38
2.4	Experimental Results . . . . .	38
2.4.1	Results without Crowd Attraction Impacts . . . . .	38
2.4.2	Results with Crowd Attraction Impacts . . . . .	48
2.5	Summary and Discussion . . . . .	53
<b>3</b>	<b>Pedestrian detection and tracking</b>	<b>55</b>
3.1	Background and Previous Works . . . . .	56
3.1.1	Region of Interest Reduction . . . . .	56
3.1.2	Pedestrian Detection . . . . .	58
3.1.3	Pedestrian Tracking . . . . .	60
3.2	Pedestrian Detection and Tracking at Wheeler Place . . . . .	63
3.2.1	Region of Interest Reduction . . . . .	64
3.2.2	Pedestrian Recognition . . . . .	70
3.2.3	Pedestrian Tracking . . . . .	75
3.3	Experimental Results . . . . .	77
3.4	Summary and Discussion . . . . .	82
<b>II</b>	<b>Spatial Behaviour Analysis</b>	<b>85</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Support vector machines</b>	<b>86</b>
4.1	Kernel-Based Learning . . . . .	86
4.2	Support Vector Classification . . . . .	89
4.2.1	The Maximum Margin Classifier . . . . .	89
4.2.2	Support Vector Classification . . . . .	91
4.2.3	Kernels in Support Vector Machines . . . . .	94
4.3	Potential Support Vector Machines . . . . .	95
4.4	Summary . . . . .	98
<b>5</b>	<b>Variable-length input series analysis</b>	<b>100</b>
5.1	Previous Works . . . . .	101
5.2	Background . . . . .	107

5.2.1	Support Vector Machines . . . . .	107
5.2.2	SVMs with GDTW Kernel . . . . .	108
5.2.3	Two-Step DTW-SVM Classifier . . . . .	109
5.3	Proposal of GDTW-P-SVMs . . . . .	113
5.3.1	Positive Semi-Definiteness and the GDTW Kernel . . . . .	113
5.3.2	Combination of GDTW and P-SVMs . . . . .	116
5.4	Experimental Results . . . . .	119
5.4.1	Fixed-Length Feature Vector Classification . . . . .	124
5.4.2	Variable-Length Input Series Classification . . . . .	136
5.5	Discussion and Future Work . . . . .	139
5.6	Summary . . . . .	140
<b>6</b>	<b>Spatial behaviour analysis</b>	<b>142</b>
6.1	Previous Works . . . . .	143
6.2	Spatial Characteristics Selection . . . . .	146
6.3	Analysis System . . . . .	147
6.4	Analysing Using DTW-SVM . . . . .	150
6.5	Analysing Using DTW-P-SVMs . . . . .	151
6.6	Data Sets . . . . .	151
6.6.1	Simulated Data Sets . . . . .	151
6.6.2	Real-World Data Sets . . . . .	156
6.7	Experimental Results . . . . .	157
6.7.1	Simulated Data Classification . . . . .	157
6.7.2	Real-World Data Classification . . . . .	162
6.7.3	Simulated vs. Real-World Trajectory Analysis . . . . .	164
6.8	Summary and Discussion . . . . .	169
<b>III</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>170</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusions and future works</b>	<b>171</b>
7.1	Conclusions . . . . .	171
7.1.1	Behavioural Features Collection . . . . .	171
7.1.2	Behaviour Analysis . . . . .	173

**Contents**xi

---

7.2	Main Research Contributions . . . . .	175
7.3	Future Works . . . . .	177
7.3.1	Simulation and Feature Extraction . . . . .	177
7.3.2	Analysis Approach and GDTW-P-SVMs . . . . .	178
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>180</b>

# List of Figures

1.1	Relationship between the research aims. . . . .	10
2.1	Architecture of the behaviour analysis system . . . . .	23
2.2	Aerial perspective plan of Wheeler Place . . . . .	25
2.3	Simulated pedestrian . . . . .	25
2.4	Possible positions for attractors in Wheeler Place . . . . .	27
2.5	Simulation in Scenario 1 . . . . .	29
2.6	Extracted boundaries from plan of Wheeler Place . . . . .	32
2.7	Pedestrian spatial behaviour simulation . . . . .	37
2.8	Colour-coded speed representation in scenario 2 . . . . .	40
2.9	Graphical User Interface for the simulation software . . . . .	41
2.10	Five agents with five different speed categories . . . . .	42
2.11	Simulated behavioural parameters for an agent . . . . .	44
2.12	Simulated behavioural parameters for an agent . . . . .	45
2.13	Normal and attracted trajectories of a simulated pedestrian . .	46
2.14	Probability of attraction for different positions . . . . .	47
2.15	Scenarios 1, 2 and 3. . . . .	48
2.16	Scenarios 4, 5 and 6. . . . .	49
2.17	Scenarios 7, 8 and 9. . . . .	49
2.18	Scenario 10. . . . .	49
2.19	Agent's behavioural characteristics . . . . .	50
2.20	Simulated behavioural parameters . . . . .	52
3.1	Initial background image . . . . .	64
3.2	Background subtraction using a fixed background image . . . .	65
3.3	An example image to apply background detection (first frame)	65
3.4	An example image to apply background detection (second frame)	66
3.5	The circular average filter on Figure 3.3 . . . . .	67
3.6	Image subtraction using blurred background . . . . .	67
3.7	Otsu's method . . . . .	68

3.8	Moore-Neighbor tracing algorithm . . . . .	69
3.9	Estimated ROIs using height to width ratio . . . . .	70
3.10	Image subtraction using blurred images . . . . .	71
3.11	Otsu's method . . . . .	71
3.12	Final ROIs . . . . .	72
3.13	Camera field of view calculations . . . . .	73
3.14	Transformation from real-world to 2D-plan . . . . .	74
3.15	Extracted skeleton from the legs . . . . .	76
3.16	The interested area at Wheeler Place . . . . .	78
3.17	Mapping the grid to a 2D plan . . . . .	79
3.18	Wheeler Place . . . . .	80
3.19	Location of the camera . . . . .	80
3.20	The Camera field of view . . . . .	81
3.21	Detected pedestrians . . . . .	82
3.22	Tracked pedestrians at Wheeler Place . . . . .	83
3.23	Mapping the real-world trajectories . . . . .	84
4.1	Illustration of feature mapping . . . . .	88
4.2	Illustration of data classification . . . . .	90
4.3	Two false detections . . . . .	91
4.4	Separating hyperplane . . . . .	93
5.1	Sequence alignment using DTW . . . . .	103
5.2	Warping path using DTW . . . . .	105
5.3	Two-step DTW-SVM . . . . .	110
5.4	Training phase for multi-class classification using DTW-SVMs .	111
5.5	Testing phase for trained models . . . . .	112
5.6	Positive and negative eigenvalues . . . . .	115
5.7	Exponential increment of $C$ values . . . . .	120
5.8	Exponential increment of $\gamma$ values . . . . .	122
5.9	Comparison of time series classification methods . . . . .	129
5.10	Comparison of Receiver Operating Characteristic . . . . .	130
5.11	Comparison of time series classification methods . . . . .	132

6.1	Agents' behavioural characteristics . . . . .	147
6.2	Pedestrian spatial behaviour simulation . . . . .	148
6.3	Trajectory alignment using DTW . . . . .	149
6.4	Behavioural characteristics of an agent . . . . .	153
6.5	Behavioural characteristics of an attracted agent . . . . .	154
6.6	Simulated trajectories in Wheeler Place . . . . .	155
6.7	Tracked pedestrians in Wheeler Place . . . . .	157
6.8	Classifier comparison without the impact of crowd attraction .	159
6.9	Classifier comparison without the impact of crowd attraction .	160
6.10	Normal and attracted pedestrians in Wheeler Place . . . . .	163
6.11	Receiver Operating Characteristic for trajectory classification .	163
6.12	Mapping trajectories to the plan of Wheeler Place . . . . .	165
6.13	Simulated trajectories in Wheeler Place . . . . .	166
6.14	Classifier comparison using real-world and simulated data . . .	168

# List of Tables

2.1	Pedestrian model parameters . . . . .	26
2.2	Possible scenarios for two categories of attractive objects . . . . .	48
2.3	Average probability of attraction . . . . .	53
3.1	Comparison of different type of sensors . . . . .	59
3.2	Summary of previous approaches . . . . .	61
5.1	Sign of eigenvalues . . . . .	116
5.2	UCR time series data set . . . . .	126
5.3	UCR time series classification error rates . . . . .	127
5.4	Classification error rates using DTW-based kernels . . . . .	131
5.5	A pairwise comparison of the average ranks . . . . .	134
5.6	The average ranks using DTW-based kernels . . . . .	135
5.7	Classification error rate using character dataset . . . . .	138
5.8	Total distance and duration of transportation modes . . . . .	139
5.9	Classification accuracy for the GeoLife data set . . . . .	140
6.1	Data sets details . . . . .	152
6.2	Classification error rates without crowd attraction . . . . .	158
6.3	Classification error rates with crowd attraction . . . . .	158
6.4	The properties of training and test data sets . . . . .	167